

Future Infill/Planning Concerns & Suggestions (58 comments)

New city/housing rules (e.g. Beltline) allowing for more historic homes to be torn down.

that the City might have good intentions but inadvertently pass laws and regulations that hurt the neighborhood - too much density, etc.

Lots divided up

Also concerned about new houses not in appropriate proportion to existing homes, or too big for their lots.

One of the things that make Ansley special is the mix of styles and age of homes in the neighborhood - old and well built new can live in harmony

Needs strong programs to maintain the landscaping / park feel of the community.

I believe Ansley should allow new construction that is in keeping with the quality construction of the area

More multi family housing and increased population density at the risk of green spaces and single family housing.

Maintaining the lot size for largely single family dwellings. Along with that premise to keep the neighborhood residential quality, a limit on the height of all buildings is important.

We are also concerned about encroaching density from Midtown that will change the character of the neighborhood

That our quality of life will slowly be eroded by the construction of multi family housing.

Only concerned about the inner circle streets.

Homes closer to Peachtree and Piedmont are just as important as those in the core of Ansley Park.

I love being close to the Beltline and Piedmont Park but you pay a price for those being in our neighborhood. I accept that, but I do not trust the city to make good choices for us.

Trees are paramount to maintaining the integrity of this neighborhood. We are losing them at a rapid rate and don't have a good plan for replanting

More safeguards in place to protect the future of our neighborhood.

It might be of benefit to impress upon residents the fact that a Landmark Designation Status would improve the value of their lands/homes and protect "all" of us. Versus residents assuming that a Landmark status would 'hinder' them and any renovations they may want to undertake.

that the city, in its push for density and tax revenue will allow developers to demolish homes and build multi-family or condo's / apartment buildings

Do not allow additional multi family units to be constructed in the neighborhood.

Are residents willing to go along with changes to existing zoning to minimize size of new housing?

splitting of lots to allow for two homes on one lot.

I think we need to be proactive and put reasonable zoning and construction/design restrictions in place that will preserve the character and scale of the neighborhood.

Lots with one house having two houses built on them. Addition of multi-family dwellings where they did not exist before within the neighborhood (although it is true that some of the houses in Ansley Park were divided into apartments in the 60's and 70's).

While I think it's important for our neighborhood to be active and participate in the dialogue across the city regarding zoning changes, I also believe it's important that both the potential benefits and potential negatives of a departure from the existing standards are openly discussed for all to understand and fully weigh. I'd also like to understand the actual consequences, using actual examples of what we've lost as a neighborhood under the current regulations as well as what we will lose under the proposed regulations. The threats outlined feel fairly generic and unquantified and in some instances we are proposing to move against threats that remain theoretical. I welcome further balanced discussion on the topic at hand so we can all truly understand the pros and cons of both sides.

last thing I'd want to see is multi-family or apartment units going up in our historic neighborhood.

minimize building height

I do not want to lose our neighborhood feel and the green space

What I read in the Ansley Park Forever email about our neighborhood changing in terms of high density properties

Ensuring that new development is responsible and considerate to the existing, impacted home owners, including damage to road/curbing caused by new construction.

maintaining HIGH level building standards

encroachment on AP lifestyle by city planners trying to impose their vision on Ansley Park.

I'm wary of rules being too restrictive, but like the idea of restricting the front of the house design and allowing for construction in the back/on the sides.

If new homes are being built they should be proportionate to the lot size, and the surrounding homes.

Many of the homes in Ansley park desperately need to be modernized. Many do not even have basic parking for their vehicles. How will this plan address this need?

Needs to remain a single family community.

that it will become less of a single family neighborhood

Maintain prestige

I think people clinging to their right to do what they want with their property is also short-sighted, and will collectively reduce all property values when Ansley Park is no more charming or peaceful than West Midtown. Atlanta has very little history; it needs to hold onto the few historic neighborhoods that exist and protect them. There are plenty of non-historic places for alternate types of development.

We should be looking to the future of our neighborhood as one with potential for strategic medium density while maintaining the historic planning and design instead of letting it look increasingly like a McMansion suburban hellscape.

My biggest concern is excessive and short-sighted control by APCA. I support this initiative in zoning, but we should not adopt a blind, NIMBY attitude toward development. Ansley Park would benefit from (tasteful) upgrades to the housing stock. Most new construction that I see is quite good. Blanket opposition to improvements would be regrettable. I also see carriage houses (ADU's) as a positive development. And we should encourage bicycles and scooters, i.e. non-automobile transport.

Ability to fine multi-family dwelling owners for not maintaining property and how loud their heat pumps can be

Would love to see 15th turned into a boulevard w/ landscaping/trees put down the middle of the street

Maintain setbacks and less density; Not restrict changes not visible from street

The character is being lost by height/front elevations of new structures with NO historical consideration and frontage from sidewalks

Essential to maintain primarily single home dwellings, and to stop the tearing down of existing homes

Protect Single Family Zoning and Improve Parking Permits

Tree health program for the neighborhood. One crushed our house, and many look ready to do the same to other homes.

some sort of building standards. the fact the city permitted the construction off the roundabout at PT Circle and the Prado over a ravine and wet weather stream is an extreme example of excess and no standards. The fill is crazy and, after pretending to leave mature trees, it is not clear cut, that was likely the intention all along. Preserve/require/update parks and sidewalks; Provide security

I will not support any restrictions on current lots.

Keep developers / builders from over building on lots. Parks

If zoning and parking are within the current landscapes.... don't want a tree taken down for parking.

Proactively seek to understand building/construction plans adjoining Ansley Park. Example: Presbyterian church's plans with its parking lot on Ptree between 16th and 17th. Critically important to understand that plan.

Don't forget ansley lost one multifamily rental bldg in last 20 years. Few options remain.

We are the Church but want our neighborhood to stay as it is. No more homes that do not architecturally "fit" in the neighborhood.

Again, based on what we pay in taxes we do not see those taxes being applied to the area. I am indifferent to encouraging other types of transportation. I am tired of scooters and bikes on the sidewalks. If the city doesn't address the speed zone between Prada and Monroe, you cannot add alternative types of transportation. People will be hurt or killed. We have requested traffic calming measures over and over to no avail. I am especially passionate about the look of any new building and the height. We moved here to get away from cookie cutter. Just walking the belt line you can see how cookie cutter/boring the new construction is. It does not provide an appealing skyline. Just flat box buildings that home other taxpayers.

Continue to allow residents and guests to park on streets. Minimize tear downs.